## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

Western District of North Carolina

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE
	)	(For <b>Revocation</b> of Probation or Supervised Release)
<b>V.</b>	)	(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)
	١.	
William Zane Homeier	, \	Case Number: DNCW511CR00045-001
William Zane Homeler	,	
	)	USM Number: 07043-017
	)	
	)	Mary Ellen Coleman
	)	Defendant's Attorney
THE DEFENDANT:		
	e orio	nal petition & 2 in the addendum of the term of supervision.
☐ Was found in violation of condition(s) count(s) a		
, , , , , ,		
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the	defer	dant is guilty of the following violation(s):
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the discount violation	defer	Date Violation
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the of Violation  Number Nature of Violation	defer	Date Violation Concluded
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the discount violation	defer	Date Violation
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the of Violation  Number Nature of Violation		Date Violation Concluded 12/20/12
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the dividination  Number Nature of Violation  1 Drug/alcohol use  2 Failure to comply with drug testing/treatm	nent r	Date Violation Concluded 12/20/12 equirements 12/18/12
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the of Violation  Number Nature of Violation  1 Drug/alcohol use  2 Failure to comply with drug testing/treatm  The Defendant is sentenced as provided in page 1.	nent r	Date Violation Concluded 12/20/12 equirements 12/18/12 s 2 through 2 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the of Violation  Number Nature of Violation  1 Drug/alcohol use  2 Failure to comply with drug testing/treatm  The Defendant is sentenced as provided in page 1.	nent r	Date Violation Concluded 12/20/12 equirements 12/18/12
ACCORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the of Violation  Number Nature of Violation  1 Drug/alcohol use  2 Failure to comply with drug testing/treatm  The Defendant is sentenced as provided in page 1.	nent r pages	Date Violation Concluded 12/20/12 equirements 12/18/12 s 2 through 2 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed sates v. Booker, 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005), and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Date of Imposition of Sentence: 2/6/2013

Richard L. Voorhees United States District Judge

Date: February 12, 2013

Defendant: William Zane Homeier Case Number: DNCW511CR00045-001 Judgment- Page 2 of 2

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of <u>FOURTEEN (14) MONTHS.</u>

NO TERM OF SUPERVISED RELEASE TO FOLLOW TERM OF IMPRISONMENT.

RESTITUTION REMAINS AN OBLIGATION AND IS STILL OWED DURING THE PERIOD OF INCARCERATION AS WELL.

The	e device seized that was used to falsify drug screen be destroyed.
	The Court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
$\boxtimes$	The Defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.
	The Defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this District:
	<ul> <li>□ As notified by the United States Marshal.</li> <li>□ At <u>Time_am/pm</u> on <u>Surrender Date</u>.</li> </ul>
	The Defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:
	<ul> <li>□ As notified by the United States Marshal.</li> <li>□ Before 2 p.m. on Surrender date.</li> <li>□ As notified by the Probation Office.</li> </ul>
	RETURN
l ha	ave executed this Judgment as follows:
De	fendant delivered on to, with a certified copy of this Judgment.
	United States Marshal
	By: